

WORKERS' fight

3p

No. 40 19th January - 25th January 1974

Strikes support jailed pickets

AS THREE building workers are still held in Heath's jails, 8 more of the 'Shrewsbury 24' went on trial on Tuesday 15th.

Thousands of trade unionists struck and marched in several cities on Tuesday 15th in support of the SHREWSBURY THREE. In London the 3,000 strong demonstration took place under the slogans of opposition to the Three Day Week and Phase Three as well as the jailing of the Shrewsbury Three.

Mr. Norman Atkinson, Labour MP for Tottenham, addressing some of the building workers who went on to lobby parliament, said that Labour lawyers had concluded from the Shrewsbury case that anybody involved in planning picketing could be arrested for conspiracy.

IN LIVERPOOL 2,500 workers marched to Pierhead on a demonstration called by the Trades Council and building unions in support of the Shrewsbury 3. The demonstration consisted mainly of building workers. Many sites stopped work, but no other large industry came out.

Dockers at a mass meeting the previous Sunday had voted to support a shop stewards resolution not to strike.

A resolution put to the Pierhead meeting called for a national lobby of the Home Secretary and for TUC support for this action to rescind the sentences on the 3. This was passed despite protests from many workers who called for strike action.

Similar protests were made when the same resolution was put to a 'mass rally' of 200 on Wednesday night (16th January) called by the Liverpool Confederation of Shipbuilding & Engineering Unions.

Liverpool Trades Council (which held a conference on the Shrewsbury 24 last September) is sponsoring a recall delegate conference on the issue. It will be held on Saturday February 2nd at St. George's Hall, Liverpool. (Credentials available from Simon Fraser, LTC Secretary, 33 Hatton Garden, Liverpool 3; Tel: 051 236 1778) Demonstrations were also held in Edinburgh, Glasgow, Brighton, Ammanford, Shotton and Humberside. On Saturday 19th there will be a conference on the Shrewsbury 24 case in Manchester, organised by the area Liaison Committee for Defence of Trade Unions.

But widespread as the support is, the campaign needs to be massively stepped up. There need to be Defence Committees in every area and branches and contacts on every major site.

J.P.

TUC sell-out will isolate miners STOP CRAWLING START FIGHTING!

THE MEETING of the Presidents and General Secretaries of 100 unions on January 16th was originally intended to consider a trade union response to the 2 day lockout imposed by the Tory Government. It turned out instead to be a most shameful exhibition of crawling before the enemies of the working class.

The meeting passed a resolution which came as near as makes no difference to explicitly accepting Phase 3, the Tories' current blueprint for cutting our living standards. Under the guise of 'supporting' the miners they tried to disguise their capitulation with meaningless noises that were meant to sound militant.

Inflation

Meanwhile the 3 day week continues and the capitalists are reported to be doing very well out of it: the working week is down 40%, while production is down between 15% and 20%, with the bosses' profits doing very nicely out of the difference. Raging inflation eats into our wages, and another 15% is probably going to be added to prices in the next few weeks. The Governor of the Bank of England forecasts 10 years of 'austerity for Britain' — and that means austerity for the working class, with the Government doing its damndest to make us pay for capitalism's crisis.

The balance of payments crisis will compel this government or any other government running capitalism to go to international financiers for loans. And to reassure these sharks about the prospects for profit in Britain, it will most likely attempt to declare a new wage freeze.

This is why Heath needs to gamble on winning an election — to strengthen the Government to do battle for the bosses in the period ahead.

And the leadership of the labour movement meet in solemn conference and decide not to help the miners smash through Phase 3, but ... to beg the Tories to let them

through. In return for this they promise that no other union will attempt to go through that breach!

Two years ago when the miners made mincemeat of the 7½% norm, the Government, anxious to avoid the appearance of being beaten, invented the argument that the miners were a 'special case' amidst general derision. The working class knew that the miners were special because they had fought specially hard and mobilised the support of the rest of the working class.

Now the 'special case' idea is revived — by the TUC to cover its abject capitulation.

Taken at its face value the TUC offer is a nonsense. The Government knows that a miners' victory will be a shattering blow for the bosses, not because it would provide a few good arguments for bureaucrats in their negotiations, but because it would be an inspiring example to other workers to ACT to defend their living standards.

The TUC should be concerned to fuse the miners' struggle with that of the whole working class in a general class wide offensive against Tory pay policy. Instead they offer Tory solutions to the crisis created by the Tories — and militantly 'demand' that the Tories accept their Tory solution!

It is a Tory solution because justifying special cases is exactly the sort of divisive, sectional tactics which Tory pay policy was designed to foster.

It is a certainty that if the trade union movement had really used its strength in the last two years, pitting itself decisively against the

government, then not one of the swinish measures with which the Tories have scourged the working class would have survived. The Industrial Relations Act, the Housing Finance Act, the social service cuts, the whole lot could have been scrapped, and probably the Government could have been scrapped too. Three militant workers would not now be in jail.

But our strength has not been used in any coordinated offensive. Instead, faced with outrageous class legislation, the union leaders turned their faces hopefully towards the prospect of a new Labour government which they hoped would painlessly do the job of dismantling the Tory legislation for them. Thus they avoided fighting where they had strength.

The incredible irony of the present situation is that with their two day lockout the Tories have successfully resorted to industrial action ... against the unions! And already the union leaders have run for cover.

Irony

No less ironic and incredible is that the Tories have terrified the union leaders with the 'threat' of an election. This is the most hated, anti working class government in a generation. It is a government which should tremble at the thought of submitting itself to the verdict of the electorate.


And yet the craven union leaders have been blackmailed into crawling to Downing Street, suing for 'social peace' — on Tory terms — afraid to confront the Tories either industrially or electorally!

Meanwhile, the forces of anti working class reaction are gathering and preparing for an offensive. Either we ourselves go on the offensive and refuse to see our living standards cut and refuse to bear the cost of the crisis, fighting for a different, working class system.

Or, beginning now, we allow them to make us pay for



London demonstration against the jailing of the Shrewsbury 3



Len Murray

their problems, and in the period ahead see our living standards cut to the bone.

We need an industrial and an electoral offensive.

The policy of the union leaders, Left and Right, is one of conciliatory idiocy. And they will not even placate the Tories. However low they crawl, it won't satisfy Heath — because they cannot guarantee that the working class will follow their example and flop down on its belly too.

The union leaders appear to calculate that with an election in the offing, industrial action will lead to a defeat for Labour at the polls. Like the Labour leaders, they think that the best way to win an election is to pretend the class struggle doesn't exist. The Labour politicians will do their level best to make the miners retreat from their

overtime ban so that they can parade around on the hustings preaching a sham 'national unity' and trying to look more Tory than the Tories.

Getting the Tories out is important. But not at the cost of damping down the struggle where our real strength lies. If the labour movement refrains now from fighting where it can fight — in industry, where the miners are now again in the vanguard — then what would happen even if these tactics help elect a Labour government? A foreseeable Labour government will try to run capitalism too, and will face the same problems as the Tories, including inflation. It will attempt capitalist solutions, not the socialist solution. Harold Wilson's government too imposed a wage freeze at the bidding of

the international bankers (remember the 'Gnomes of Zurich'?). A new Labour government will probably, at least, attempt its own version of the Tories' incomes policy. True, a Labour government will be more vulnerable to the pressures of the working class — and therefore a less stable servant of the bosses than the Tories. The trade unions should not hesitate to make demands on a Labour government.

But any militant who shouts in an election VOTE LABOUR, without adding AND PREPARE TO FIGHT is living in dreamland. The class struggle will continue under a Labour government too. The working class will have to fight every inch of the way, though in

CONTD. BACK PAGE

OIL CUTS UPSET TRADE BALANCE

The first of two articles by BRUCE ROBINSON

THE CUTS IN OIL PRODUCTION and the general increase in its price make possible a disruption of world trade and a slump of far greater proportions than that which was in any case expected in 1974. It also marks the reversal of the previous trends in the economic relations between the great capitalist

powers, because of the unevenness with which the oil crisis has hit them.


Japan, until now the strongest of the capitalist powers, will probably be the hardest hit as it is totally dependent on foreign sources of energy and the oil companies are sharing their supplies equally between all countries and not making any allowances for the different degrees of dependence of individual countries.

Already this year the revaluation of the yen has created a Japanese trade deficit, and the oil crisis will on OECD estimates cost Japan an extra \$9.1 billion in 1974 so that even with a 10% saving in fuel there would still be a balance of payments deficit of \$5.3 billion.

BLOCKED

Even if oil supplies are maintained at their previous level, only 2½% growth (minute by Japanese post war standards) will occur and forecasts based on more realistic assumptions predict a nil or negative growth rate. Overtime is already being cut in some industries and in the face of an increasingly depressed home market the only solution seen by Japanese companies is to export more and try to hold as great as possible a share of foreign markets.

The members of the EEC are also affected to different degrees by the crisis and this has caused considerable stress in the united front they wanted to present to the USA. Britain, less dependent than most EEC



countries on oil supplies, has blocked any official moves for sharing oil until its dispute with West Germany over the size of the regional fund is settled. While Germany, Italy, and Holland will feel the effects of the oil cuts most heavily, Britain and France, both friendly with the Arab states, will escape relatively lightly, possibly through negotiating bilateral agreements with the Arab states to get oil (e.g. the rumoured arms deal with Saudi Arabia).

In addition there is the question of how the vast money balances which will build up in the Arab states (in themselves a new potential source of international economic instability) and which are expected to be placed in Europe will be distributed between various countries and how competitive increases in interest rates can be avoided. So far the EEC appears hamstrung in its attempts to agree on something that can be presented as a 'European' point of view by the fact that its members are affected by the crisis to greatly varying extents and that they have differences on many other economic issues.

The position of the USA is well described by the 'Economist': "The US economy and the American dollar are both likely to emerge from the

present crisis in a more dominant world position than at any time since the 1950s. America is the one industrialised country that does not face the prospect of an almost permanent balance of payments deficit as a result of what the Arab oil states have done". The USA imports only about 5% from the Middle East and is virtually the only major capitalist nation with sizeable oil supplies. The government is trying to ensure that the effects of the loss of oil are felt by the motorist and not by industry, and has instructed refineries to produce less petrol and more of other fuels. In any case the US was gradually winning back a better trading position in 1973, with the result that the government felt able to remove certain long standing restrictions on the export of capital.

DEFICIT

The oil crisis has meant that the international monetary situation has in many ways reverted to what it was before August 1971. The countries which were refusing to pay for the US deficit by accepting US dollars are now likely to have such troubles with their own balance of payments that their bargaining positions will be considerably reduced.

Grape pickers call for boycott aid

THE struggle for union recognition of the Californian Grape pickers has been going on now for almost 10 years. Once again it has been brought to the attention of the British labour movement in an attempt to get support for their campaign of blacking and boycott of the scab grapes.

Richard Chavez, one of the leaders of the pickers' union United Farm Workers has been touring Europe to publicise their struggle.

The grape pickers of California are almost entirely Mexican Americans, or Mexicans who travel hundreds of miles to work and back every day in cramped and crowded trucks. They are very poorly paid, the work is backbreaking and hazardous to health because of the poisonous pesticide sprays and there is also a high accident rate, 300% higher than the US national average. 800,000 children under 16 work in the fields, over 400 workers die every year from pesticide poisoning, and it is said that the average life expectancy of the migrant workers is 49 years.

In the early years of the UFW, they only had the bosses and their police to fight. But in the last few years they have also had to face the onslaught of the Teamsters Union which, in collusion with the growers, has hired thugs to break up UFW picket lines. Recently these attacks have resulted in the death of two strikers.

The Teamster racketeers want the sole rights to draw up contracts with the growers. The growers prefer Teamster controlled labour, as Teamster leaders, the growers and their politicians are all linked in a complex circle of racketeering and corruption.

The attempts of the UFW to organise a genuine union to fight for the interests of the pickers are a thorn in their side. Hence this Union & boss alliance to smash the UFW.

But the UFW has a long way to go, and the concerted attacks on it have reduced its membership drastically. A consumer boycott in the USA has been quite effective; but attempts to extend it internationally have so far met with little cooperation at official level. Finally two weeks ago London dockers blacked a cargo of Californian grapes. This is a late start, but a good one: it must be extended to ensure that no more of these grapes are sold in this country to the profit of these gangster strikebreakers.

John Cunningham

'You can come and live with me - I'm dead.'

A REVIEW

Marx declared that the method of exploitation under capitalism is the way the worker is compelled to sell his labour power. Labour power is bought by capitalists, and its cost rises or falls like any other commodity on the market.

However, if the worker happens to be black and living in South Africa, he first has to get a licence for the privilege of selling his labour power.

Sizwe Bansi needs work because he has a wife and four children in Williamstown in one of the blacks-only Bantustans. He doesn't want to work in the gold mines because too many people get killed in rock falls, and there is no other way of earning a wage in the Bantustan; nor is there any work for his wife.

So he treks to Port Elizabeth, where the Ford and Feltex factories are, in search of work.

He has a passbook, and a number, and a photograph. But he does not have the right rubber stamp on it. So when the police raid the place he is staying in, he gets a stamp in his book which tells him to get back to Williamstown in three days.

But Sizwe cannot read — there is no education for blacks in the Bantustans — so he doesn't know what it says. His friend Buntu knows the system after long struggles with white

authority to get a resident's permit to stay in 'whites only' Port Elizabeth. Sizwe, he says, is in big trouble.

Sizwe protests: can't he get a job on private gardens? No; he needs a book. Well, get the proper stamp? No, not now it's endorsed. Burn it and get another? No, the details on his old book are in the central computer. His only option is to get back before he gets into more trouble.

Buntu says the only time we black men are happy is when we're dead. Or dead drunk. So they go to drink before Sizwe leaves.

Ghosts

Staggering home, they find the corpse of a man killed by muggers. "Should we tell the police?" No, we'll get the blame for killing him. "Tell his relatives, then?" Too difficult. Sizwe insists they find his name, which is easy, because he must have a passbook.

While they debate what to do, they unthinkingly get out the passbook of Robert Tswelinsima. And then Buntu sees that Robert Tswelinsima has a work seeker's permit, and has an idea: if Sizwe Bansi takes the passbook and becomes Robert Tswelinsima, then he can do Robert's job and stay in

Port Elizabeth.

Sizwe objects. He doesn't want to lose his identity, his name; is he not a man? No, says Buntu, we are not men, only ghosts. Your name is worth nothing. Just learn your new number, and no white bureaucrat will ever know the difference.

Eventually Sizwe agrees.

"Sizwe Bansi is dead", he writes to his wife. "All my troubles are over. I have a job and earn 13 Rand, £8.50 a week. Buntu will fix it so that you can come and live with me in Port Elizabeth." All is perfect — unless he ever gets into trouble with the police, so they check his finger prints against the records of Robert Tswelinsima....

SIZWE BANSI IS DEAD is one of three plays by Athol Fugard, a white South African, about the experience of living in South Africa. THE ISLAND takes as its subject the political prison on Robben Island; the third play (the three are showing at the Royal Court theatre in London until February 9th) is called STATEMENT AFTER AN ARREST UNDER THE IMMORALITY ACT.

If the other two are up to the standard of Sizwe Bansi, they add up to a vivid indictment of the whole South African prison house.

Ed Conduit

VLADIMIR LENIN

PAUL ADAMS outlines the and work of V.I. Lenin, greatest revolutionary working class leader in his who died 50 years ago this mo

"The Russian revolutionary movement will be victorious as a movement of workers. There is and can be no alternative."

What astonishment must have registered in that vast, backward prison block of progress that was 19th century Russia, at these words of George Plekhanov spoken in 1889. For Russia in those days was a country with a tiny working class numbering about 1 million, while there were over one hundred million peasants. It was this vast peasant mass that had been looked to as the revolutionary class by the then dominant revolutionary organisation, Narodnaya Volya (Narodniks).

Lenin was then only 19. He had already read Marx's Capital and begun to ground himself seriously in its scientific method. Born in the provincial town of Simbirsk, into the family of a schools administrator, Lenin was no stranger to revolutionary ideas other than Marxism. His brother Alexander had been hanged in 1887 for planning the assassination of the Tsar. He had been a member of the Narodnik organisation.

But if the execution of his brother sharpened Lenin's sense of injustice, it was not the views or the methods of the Narodniks that influenced him, but those of Marx, Engels and Plekhanov. The first things Lenin wrote were directed at countering Narodnaya Volya's faith in the peasantry with Marxism's scientific theory of the central historical role of the working class. Whereas the Narodniks saw the peasantry — "the People" — as a single mass, Lenin used detailed studies to show the differentiation within these masses, how out of 'the People' was crystallising the proletariat, the modern working class.

system

He also criticised the tactics of the Narodniks, who sent out heroic and isolated revolutionaries with guns and bombs to act in the name of 'the People' and assassinate the hated representatives of Tsarist tyranny. What he had to say in the course of fighting these terrorist tactics is particularly instructive for us today. "We have never rejected terrorism on principle, nor can we do so. ... The point is however, terror is advocated (by the Narodniks) not as one of the operations the army in the field must carry out in close connection and in complete harmony with the whole system of fighting, but as an individual attack, completely separated from any army whatsoever. In view of the absence of a central revolutionary organisation, terror cannot be anything but that."

This was the period when the new Russian working class, recently driven into the factories from the countryside, revolted against their

conditions and against Tsarist tyranny, with wave upon wave of mass, illegal strikes. Even the savage Tsarist repression failed to quell the movement of the workers, which was spontaneous and lacking in any stable organisation. Trade unions were of course illegal.

There were also groups of 'intellectuals' who had imported the ideas of Marxism into Russia from Western Europe. These turned eagerly to the task of aiding the proletarian movement and to the task of fusing a revolutionary Marxist consciousness with the actual movement of the working class. In turning towards these tasks, Lenin emerged in the 1890s as one of the foremost of the younger generation of the Russian Marxists.

illegal

In 1895 Lenin travelled abroad to contact the emigre 'Emancipation of Labour Group' and learn about developments in the West European labour movement. The Emancipation of Labour Group comprised the older generation of Russian Marxists, like Plekhanov, Axelrod, Zasulich and Deutsch, and was the powerhouse of Russian Marxist analysis and propaganda.

With them, he arranged for the publication of a miscellany, 'Rabotnik' ('Worker'). Returning to Russia, he arranged its illegal distribution, and in the Autumn of 1895 set up in St. Petersburg the League of the Struggle for the Emancipation of the Working Class and arranged for the publication of a new illegal paper, Rabocheye Dyelo. But just as the proofs for the paper were finally being checked, Lenin and most of the other members of the League were arrested.

After a period in jail Lenin was sent into exile in Eastern Siberia. Here he was joined by his comrade Nadezhda Krupskaya. They were married in 1896.

Neither jail nor exile meant silence or inactivity. This was a period of intense political study, the monument to which is the massive work, 'The Development of Capitalism in Russia'.

Iskra

But he was cut off from the working class, and unable to carry out the urgent task of building a working class revolutionary organisation. From the time of his release in 1900 he concentrated his entire energy on this.

His first idea was to break with the isolated 'Circle work' in which scattered groups of Marxist propagandists existed more or less independently of each other throughout Russia. In order to do this a paper, an all Russian paper, needed to be

LENIN 1870-1924

the life
the
and
tory,
nth.

founded, which would act as an organiser and centraliser for the whole Social Democratic Movement (Marxism was called Social Democracy until 1914). This centralism was to prefigure the unification and ideological independence of the working class.

Again he travelled abroad, realising that the paper would have to be produced outside Russia owing to the close police supervision there of their every move. At the end of 1900 this work bore its first fruit with the appearance of 'Iskra' (The Spark), and then in the January of the following year a journal, 'Zarya' (Dawn) was produced.

Now he set about that work that was to lead to a breakthrough in Marxist thinking and in its practical effects was to become one of his most important and most characteristic contributions to Marxism as a revolutionary force: the organisation of the Russia workers' revolutionary drive into a fighting party. Although an attempt to found a party had been made in 1898 while he was in exile, it had collapsed almost immediately when nearly all the delegates to the founding conference were arrested soon after it.


party

The period from 1894 to the first Congress of the Party in 1898 had been, in Lenin's own words, one in which Social Democracy appeared "as a social movement, as a rising of the masses of the people and as a political party". Even the Zubatov 'unions' — so called because they were 'unions' organised by the Police Chief Zubatov — 'led' strikes, even general strikes, in large cities, so great was the spontaneous will to struggle of the working class.

But if they appeared now as 'a political party', it was a political party in the old sense: in the sense of a unified group having common characteristics and acting more or less together. Lenin understood, however, that what was wanted was a 'party of a new type': something that broke out of the scattered, restricted work of the Marxist educational and leaflet distributing circles and became a factor on the national political scene, enabling the working class in turn to unite organisationally and ideologically.

This 'party of a new type' had to combine the features of an organisationally secure unit capable of escaping detection by the secret police and of a politically trained and unified group capable of directing struggles surely and singlemindedly.

The period of the rise of working class struggle in the nineties was, however, also the period of the rise of the theory of 'economism', the view that economic, trade union struggles of workers were in themselves an adequate basis



for the political struggle of the working class, leaving the sphere of the political struggle to the domination of the bourgeoisie. The inevitable separation between 'economic struggle' and 'political struggle' that this entailed made economism the at first unwitting and later conscious ally of the revisionism that had just reared its head in Germany. The revisionists wanted to transform Social Democracy from a movement to overthrow capitalism into one aiming to achieve mere reforms.

link

In the famous book What Is to Be Done, Lenin outlined the theory of the organisation and role of the party. Published in 1902, this book developed ideas already set out in 'Where to Begin' (1901) and 'Letter to a Comrade in St. Petersburg'. The book showed how a central revolutionary organisation based on a scientific programme could both link together the fragmented struggles of the working class and also link the struggles of that class on a

whole series of fronts and around a great variety of objectives. It would do this by forging out of these disparate struggles an organisational and ideological unity which would be a crystal of the true character of the proletariat.

This struggle to protect the purity of the proletarian character of the revolutionary movement was concentrated within the revolutionary party. But it had a clear relationship to the movement outside. "The stronger our Party organisations are consisting of real Social Democrats, and the less wavering and instability there is within the Party, the broader, the more varied, the richer and more fertile will be the influence of the Party on the elements of the working class masses surrounding it and guided by it." In backward, semi Asiatic Russia, however, where the peasantry, the bourgeoisie and the petty bourgeoisie of both the town and the countryside, as well as the working class, were oppressed classes, there was a great danger that the working class movement would fall under the domination of these far more numerous classes.

The struggle against false ideas, developing in and around the workers' movement, had to be coupled with an equally determined struggle against the external class influences.

theory

With the Second Congress of the Russian Social Democratic Labour Party in 1903, came an even greater sharpening of differences within the RSDLP. Ranged against Lenin were all those who understood a 'party' to be, as in the old sense of the word, simply the same side. Such a conception was entirely inadequate to the founding of a 'party' whose practice and programme was based on an underlying scientific theory, whose members would have to be steered, dedicated and politically trained. Lenin had to fight against many of his one time collaborators to establish his ideas.

He won, but his victory was shortlived: though his Bolshevik (majority) faction triumphed over the Mensheviks (minority) at the Congress, the defection of

Plekhanov soon afterwards to Menshevism put Lenin in the minority. He resigned from the Editorial Board of Iskra.

Thus began a long and bitter struggle in which he fought not only his close collaborators of the previous period such as Martov and Potresov, but also his teacher Plekhanov. He began to build up a faction that was finally to emerge in 1912 as the Bolshevik Party. The split in 1903, is referred to in vulgar Stalinist legend as already exhibiting in fully developed form all the distinguishing traits of both Bolshevism and Menshevism, traits which led the two factions to line up on different sides of the class barricades in the revolution of 1917. In reality it was no more than the prefiguration. A whole series of experiences, struggles, reunifications, new splits and the interchange of personnel was necessary before the final crystallisation of the two tendencies.

storm

Many of the active workers in Russia rallied to Lenin against the 'anarchic' behaviour of the emigre Menshevik intellectuals who had overturned the conference decisions. The debate of this period were anticipations of the burning problems of the revolution. "The approach of the great storm was felt everywhere. All classes were in a state of ferment and preparation."

After living in Munich and London Lenin was in Geneva when the revolutionary storm actually broke in Russia.

On January 22nd 1905 thousands of workers, dressed in their Sunday best and carrying religious ikons, marched to the Tsar's Winter Palace in St. Petersburg to present a petition to ask for "amnesty, civil liberties, higher wages, the gradual granting of land to the peasantry and the convocation of a Constituent Assembly." Led by the priest Gapon these "unfortunate reviled slaves" as they called themselves, proceeded peacefully towards the man they saw as their protector, the Tsar. Suddenly the Cossacks were unleashed against them. Over 1,000 were slaughtered and over 2,000 injured.

Strikes immediately spread throughout Russia, drawing in the soldiers, the sailors and the peasantry. Throughout 1905 mass revolutionary struggles engulfed Russia.

Soviet

Although the liberal bourgeoisie seemed to have gained control of the movement, strikes broke out once again towards the end of 1905, and it was this massive upheaval that created the first Soviet of Workers' Deputies.

The soviets were councils set up by the workers to draw the threads of the different struggles together and unify them, to link the factory organisations, to combine the employed and the unemployed and in short to be the 'parliament' of the working class. Not, however, one resting on a sham democracy with elections every five years, but on a real democracy knowing the right of the workers to recall the deputies to the Soviet and at will elect new ones. Quickly the Soviet in St. Petersburg became (with Leon Trotsky as its chairman) the focus of the strength and power of the working class, and an alternative authority to the Tsarist state. It was to the Soviet that the working class looked for leadership and organisation. Into it was channelled the energy and aspirations of the class.

The Russian workers had improvised the form of democratic self organisation which the working class needs as it begins to rouse itself and challenge the ruling class's power in society. Soviets

reappeared in the 1917 October Revolution. After World War I soviets sprang into existence in East Europe and Germany. In 1956 the great working class uprising in Budapest against Stalinism could find no better form of self organisation in a struggle for power than the workers' council. This universality proves that the soviet, invented in 1905, was not merely a Russian or an ephemeral form of proletarian organisation, but the necessary form for overcoming the atomisation of the proletariat and simultaneously the best organisational network for democratic working class rule in society.

In St. Petersburg the Bolsheviks did not at first know how to evaluate this new form of organisation. But Lenin, though he could not then know its full historical significance, quickly grasped the soviets' importance in the struggle of the working class and could not wait to get to St. Petersburg himself to see and direct events from close at hand. Moving first to Finland, he arrived towards the end of the year in St. Petersburg.

Once again, Lenin's unshakeable sense of the concrete led him to translate what he saw into an answer to the question 'what is to be done'. And once again the answer was in terms of party organisation.

work

Here was an opportunity "to develop our activities in the most extensive and audacious manner". But first the party had to develop many legal aspects of work while maintaining an underground apparatus. It had also to open its doors, hitherto rigorously guarded against easy entry, to the recruitment of thousands of workers and ensure that workers took leading positions on all the committees. This was no reversal of the view that the consciousness and system were the fundamentals of the revolutionary party — on the contrary it was precisely because in the previous three years the Bolsheviks had achieved this that they could now recruit without any danger of dilution. "The working class is instinctively, spontaneously Social Democratic", declared Lenin in the first article he wrote on returning, adding "and more than ten years' work by Social Democracy has done much to transform this spontaneity into consciousness".

The party, Lenin realised, must not be like a priest reciting sacred and unchanging texts, it must always be the organised richness of the experience of the working class given sense and direction through the science of Marxism.

By 1906, especially after the defeat of the Moscow insurrection in December 1905, the storm was dying down. Soon Tsarism was victorious and most of the revolutionary leaders were once again forced into exile, Lenin himself going to live in Paris.

Everywhere there was "demoralisation, split, discord, renegacy" with "mysticism serving as a cloak for counter revolution". It was now, in this period of black reaction with the revolutionaries increasingly isolated, that the lessons of the 1905 Revolution were drawn and the political tendencies in the working class movement were hammered into their final shape.

And now too the Bolshevik Party, which was to lead the proletariat to victory within a decade of the decisive defeat of 1907, was forged.

**Next week:
How the
workers
took power**

HUNGER STRIKERS 'BEING CRUCIFIED'

DESPITE the torture and degradation of forced feeding, the hunger strike of the Irish republican prisoners Dolours Price, Marian Price, Hugh Feeney and Gerard Kelly has now reached its 12th week.

Mrs. Bernadette McAliskey (Devlin), M.P., who managed to visit the two girls, said that Marian Price was "a shadow of her former self".

Dolours Price, she said, had "changed beyond recognition. She has lost over two stone in weight. Her once dark hair has lost colour to the extent that it is fair, and actually white at the

roots." Her face appeared to have changed shape, "the bone structure now being so pronounced that her complexion is ashen pale".

"For the past seven weeks the sisters have been 'fed' by having a wooden board pressed between their teeth and a thick rubber tube passed through a hole in the board, hopefully into the stomach. ... Water is then poured down the tube and if either girl loses her breath and chokes the tube is hastily withdrawn, having gone into the lungs by mistake.

"If the tube has safely reached the stomach, 24 fluid ounces

(more than a pint) of liquid diet is poured into the tube through a funnel, the whole process taking only 5 minutes." They are then held in position for 15 minutes "to prevent the liquid being brought-up again. This procedure takes place at about 10.30 am every day. According to the girls they can

feel their stomach being blown up and deflated as the liquid goes through their systems."

"Both girls said they dreaded feeding time each day and spent the hours before preparing themselves mentally for the ordeal."

The Home Office, in response

to a mounting campaign to grant the hunger strikers' demands, has merely issued bland assurances that their prisoners were in the best of health and that they had no intention of transferring them to prisons in Northern Ireland. However, as the four continue in their resolve to win their demands, the pressure is growing. At present it has focussed on a challenge to the authorities' right to forcibly feed their prisoners, and a committee of doctors has been formed to support the legal battle to get this stopped.

Hugh Feeney and Gerard Kelly are refusing to wear prison clothes, as part of their campaign for political status. The prison authorities have retaliated by refusing them the right to wear any clothes, and have stooped to a new low in barbarity by even refusing Kelly a towel.

Gerard Kelly's father, Mr. William Kelly, says "Marian, Dolours, Gerry and Hugh were sentenced to life imprisonment plus 20 years each, but the British Government is clearly not satisfied with those sentences. They are bent on a slow agonising crucifixion."

For all the British authorities' blatant attempts to break them, they continue in their struggle.

But they must not be left alone. Dolours Price, at the beginning of her hunger strike, wrote to her mother that "...we have a hard road ahead of us but it's one that has been walked by many before us and from their example I hope we will draw strength. Don't waste time worrying about us. Get marching!"

READERS OF Workers Fight are urged to support the activities this weekend in support of the hunger strikers' demands, and for an end to the forcible feeding of the four. RELATIVES of the four will join the picket and speak at the meeting in the evening:

PICKET Brixton Prison

Starts at 1pm, Saturday 19th January, Brixton Prison, London S.W.2

PUBLIC MEETING

"FORCED FEEDING IS TORTURE"

5pm Sunday 20th January at the Co op Hall, 129 Seven Sisters Road (Finsbury Park Tube)

SPEAKERS include relatives of the hunger strikers, MISS CLARE PRICE

MRS FEENEY MR LIAM KELLY

and

MARTIN LONEY

(Gen. Sec., National Council for Civil Liberties)

together with **LEGAL & MEDICAL EXPERTS**

Organised by Joint Action Committee

DIRTY WORK FROM 'HONEST DICK'

WHEN ITV WANTED some smooth tongued renegade from the labour movement to lay into the Clay Cross councillors' opposition to the Tories, they decided to pay "Honest Dick" Taverne to do it.

Never so hot on 'impartiality' as when there is a danger of anything on our screens stimulating support for a working class struggle, they brought Taverne into their documentary on Clay Cross (10th December), thus almost turning the Confrontation at Clay Cross of the title from the confrontation with the Tories that it has been into a confrontation with Labour renegade Dick Taverne.

Perhaps that's just how it should be. Labour needs Taverne to speak for it openly about Clay Cross: for, though Labour councils up and down the country have made the Tories' Housing Finance Act a reality and rattled at various speeds on the struggle against it that Clay Cross alone has waged, ITV probably wouldn't have found a single Labour spokesman to put the backstabbing into words.

And what did Honest Dick have to say for himself? The Clay Cross councillors, according to him, are no different from Oswald Mosley's blackshirts, they were thugs who "trampled on their opponents"

What was Honest Dick talking about? He was talking about The Law. The Clay Cross councillors have had to break the law, such as the Housing Finance Act and the 'wage norm' legislation, just to provide some modest welfare for the majority of the people who live in Clay Cross. But for the likes of

Now Police say: Only 4 allowed on Picket

THE STRIKERS at Art Castings, Nuneaton, have faced intense police harassment over the last few weeks, as they kept up their picket in support of workmates sacked for trying to get union recognition.

So far, one picket has been arrested and charged with assault; another held on suspicion of being an illegal immigrant; and the pickets have constantly been harried and told to keep their numbers down to a picket line of no more than 4 people.

The jailing of the Shrewsbury 3 has obviously already given a great boost to the confidence of the police to harass, bully and break up picket lines.

The combination of these attacks on picketing with the strengthening of the infamous 1971 Immigration Act last year puts all immigrant workers in struggle under a double pressure to accept low wages and abandon attempts to organise in unions.

The local T&GWU official and the men's MP have protested to the police about the harassment. But a better answer than protest would be a call for a mass picket to reply to the police bullying and show that the men are not alone in their struggle.

To contact the strikers, phone Ajmar Bains at 0203 85785. Offers of help with picketing, publicity and financial support will be most welcome.

Taverne, any indignity is worth the workers' suffering as long as the bosses' laws are kept in good order.

For the rich, it's different... They can get in touch with organisations like "The Institute of Fiscal Studies", which will tell him how to dodge a hefty sum of Corporation Tax. If they are really well in, they may get to being advised by one of its Directors, one Dick Taverne...

B.R.

BUCKTON PUTS THE BRAKES ON

ANY industrial action on the railways brings out the barbarian in the man from the commuter belt. Echoing him sympathetically, every paper in the country develops lynch mob language every time it runs an article about the dispute.

Yet what ASLEF is claiming in its present dispute with the British Rail Board is a straightforward result of Tory pay strategy.

As long as the Tories insist on a legally imposed wage norm, limiting workers uniformly to this or that tiny increase, one of the few ways around it — that is, avoiding an outright fight to smash through it, which is not exactly the fondest wish of the trade union bureaucrat — is to keep a number of 'fringe' and 'special' payments up your sleeve.

That way, the more the Tories try to screw us all down to wage

'norms', the more there will be a tendency to go for 'abnormal' pay structures, while, as in the present ASLEF claim, trying also to get an increase in the basic rate by consolidating some of the bonus payments.

Another phase and another freeze further into the future, the ASLEF men will then be in a better position to drag a bit more cash out of BR without a full dress struggle.


What has been most noticeable about Buckton's public attitude is the frank way he begs the 'public' to believe that he is in fact their saviour, the only buffer left between them and the wild men of Waterloo. And the present stand taken by his Executive against the men's work to rule, and the retreat in face of the Railways Board's threat of sending men home, suggests that Buckton is telling the truth.

If that is so, he ought to get out of the way and let the militants fight it their way.

It is likely that this would mean that it would be freight — like coal, steel and oil — that would suffer and not the passenger services. This way a real link will have been made between the railmen and the miners, each strengthening each other in their opposition to the Tories.

It is doubtful whether the champions of the Green Belt commuters will be happy about THAT...

JACK PRICE



Funnel and clamp & tube: Instruments of torture. Photo: Workers Press

FROM FRONT PAGE

TUC crawls to Tories

somewhat changed political conditions.

Labour's Election Manifesto makes that plain.

It promises sops in the way of tax changes, a few social service concessions, and claims it can run the capitalist economy better than the major capitalist party. Above all, it will strive for 'national unity', that is the subordination of the working class to the fundamental interests of the ruling class by

reversing the policy of confrontation of the Heath government and putting the Labour Party's 'special relationship' with the Unions at the service of the bosses.

The working class needs national unity with the bosses like a dog needs the fleas on its back.

SMASH Phase 3. FIGHT Tory blackmail.

WORK or full pay.

SUPPORT the miners and the railmen.

DEMAND that the party of the trade unions — Labour — fights the coming election on a policy of supporting the industrial struggles of the working class.

DEMAND that the Labour Party pledges itself to carry through the following

measures immediately on being elected to office:

Repeal the Industrial Relations Act and compensate its trade union victims.

End all government interference with the trade unions.

No Incomes Policy under capitalism! Demolish Phase 3 and the 'Counter Inflation' legislation of which it is part.

Bring in a guaranteed £30 minimum wage tied closely to the cost of living.

Scrap the Housing Finance Act.

Enforce equal pay for women now.

Abolish all racist Immigration Acts.

Unconditionally with draw British troops from Ireland.

SUBSCRIBE!

35 issues, post paid £2

17 issues, post paid £1

tick whichever applies and send off with name and address to Workers Fight, 98 Gifford Street London N 1.

RACIALISM AND THE WORKING CLASS

Produced to help in the campaign against racism, this 20 page pamphlet takes up the 'reasonable-sounding' racist arguments and traces the history of working class racism. Single copies 10p & post-age. Bulk: 50p for 6; 80p for 12.

IMPORTANT CONFERENCE FOR RANK AND FILE HEALTH WORKERS

THE Health Service is being nibbled away, and the people who work in it are atrociously paid, overworked and subject to an almost medieval pecking order. The leaders of the Health workers' unions do nothing to change the situation: Alan Fisher is still pushing bonus schemes despite the decision against them of the last NUPE conference; COHSE is recruiting on a virtual scabs' charter and is pushing 'lead-in' payments "for those not yet on bonus schemes"; and it is registered under the Industrial Relations Act.

There is a crying need for a strong rank and file organisation, and in this context the paper Hospital Worker, started after last year's ancillary workers' strike, has had some popularity but so far has not initiated any action. The conference it sponsored last weekend (Saturday 12th January) therefore promised to be pretty important.

Discussion ranged widely (the ancillaries' pay settlement, private patients, nurses campaign etc) but was unfortunately very unstructured, with little attempt to formulate priorities or get a unifying strategy. Yet it is precisely such a strategy, a programme of demands, and a

concrete organisational framework which will be needed if Hospital Worker is to play a leading role among hospital workers and not just be a left forum. The Conference left undiscussed such questions as 'Industrial Unionism' for the Health Service; what unions to concentrate in; what claims should be made; and how to organise for union conferences.

In this connection, we put forward the idea that a good unifying demand (in a situation where wages are negotiated by nine different Whitley Councils) would be for a national minimum wage of £30.

The Conference made a priority of declaring its support for the 3 imprisoned building workers along with the 18 others about to stand trial for picketing. It was reported that in some places collections for the defence had already been taken. We suggested that this be taken a stage further by the setting up where possible of special committees within the hospitals to campaign on the question and win more support.

The meeting decided to support the call for a Conference of delegates from a number of other rank and file papers and groups. We pointed out that that

conference was in fact a follow up to International Socialism's Industrial Conference held last November, and that it would be unfortunate if one aspect of the November conference was repeated: that aspect was the inclusion in the programme of an all union rank and file organisation of the provision for Socialist Worker.

If the Hospital Worker

conference last weekend was anything to go by, these rank and file bodies have a far wider potential than that. If IS managed to corral them all into its own backyard, that would destroy that potential and reduce the outcome to another sectarian abortion like the SLL's "All Trades Union Alliance".

Ed Conduit
Jack Sutton

The Industrial Relations Act and the fight for a GENERAL STRIKE

11 important articles from Workers' Fight, with introduction & appendix. An invaluable collection on the history, experience and theory of the General Strike. 20p plus 5p post. From 98 Gifford St., London N.1

WORKERS' FIGHT & THE 4th INTERNATIONAL

Report of the Special Conference on the 4th International held in Jan. 1973. Now available, price 10p plus 5p p & p from WF Business Manager, 98 Gifford Street, London N.1

£400 CRISIS FUND
only 12 days left to collect
£166.10
Support the new weekly **Workers' Fight** with cash NOW